

Understanding Adolescent Risk-taking



To better support rangatahi, it helps to understand what's going on in their brains, their bodies, their social circles, and their world. There is much discussion about adolescent risk-taking behaviour. Research has provided some insights to help us understand what is going on during this time of rapid change, and why we might see rangatahi trying new things and taking risks.

Adolescence

Adolescence is a time of transition from childhood to adulthood. Rangatahi become gradually less reliant on their parents and evolve into independent members of the community.¹ Adolescence begins at puberty and involves many changes – physical, psychological, emotional and social, as well as changes in the brain.² Changes also occur in the world around adolescents. For example, where they spend their time, and the expectations others have of them can undergo change.

The end of adolescence is marked by moves toward adult social roles (e.g. living independently, working) and legal status (e.g. being recognised as an adult by law³) These social roles can differ across cultures.

To successfully make this transition towards independence, rangatahi need to learn many things; they need to explore their environment and have new experiences.⁴

First we will look at some of the brain changes taking place that may affect behaviour and risk-taking, then at the context for these changes.

Brain development in adolescence

Rangatahi undergo important changes to both the structure and function of their brain. Two key structural changes are (1) the synaptic pruning of brain connections that haven't been used, making their brains more efficient and more like adult brains, and (2) the myelination of axons, which enables the brain to send messages more quickly and efficient-ly.⁵ This means that during adolescence grey matter (cell bodies of neurons) decreases, and white matter (myelinated axons) increases, across the brain.⁶

Connections within and between brain regions also change, contributing to new ways of thinking and behaving.7 While during childhood connections are largely among nearby brain regions, in adolescence regions further away from each other become more interconnected. When many brain regions function at the same time it is called "functional connectivity".8 These functional networks support more complex thinking and behaviour than any one brain region could alone.9 It's not simple though - adolescent brain changes unfold differently in different areas of the brain. And different regions reach maturity at different ages.¹⁰ For example, during adolescence some areas of the brain (e.g. the nucleus accumbens) will decrease in grey (unmyelinated) matter while other areas will increase in grey matter before reaching a peak and then decreasing (e.g. the amygdala)."

One factor often thought to influence risk-taking in adolescence is the fact that different neurotransmitters, including serotonin and dopamine, begin to affect the brain differently.¹² Neurotransmitters are the specific chemicals that carry electrical impulses from one neuron to another.¹³ Dopamine levels increase in the striatum in adolescence, becoming stable by early adulthood. In the past, this has been narrowly understood to explain impulsivity and risk-taking in adolescence, but research now also indicates increased dopamine is important for memory and learning.¹⁴ Greater activity in the striatum and adolescents' sensitivity to reward help rangatahi to learn from and remember their experiences.¹⁵

In some situations rangatahi are more sensitive to rewards than adults – risk taking is more exciting for them.¹⁶ It helps to understand this. But reward is only



Adolescents' sensitivity to reward help rangatahi to learn from and remember their experiences.

part of the picture. Studies have now found that when rangatahi face risk, there is more activity in several areas of the brain (ventromedial prefrontal cortex, anterior insula and dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, and striatum). This indicates that adolescent risktaking is not only influenced by the potential rewards (which might be the case if there was increased activation only in the ventral striatum).¹⁷ We are increasingly understanding the other factors which come into play at this age.



The brain and behaviour: caution needed

Our understanding of adolescent brain development has grown considerably in recent years, shedding further light on the opportunities and challenges of this stage of development. Having knowledge of what's going on in the brains of our rangatahi can help us to better understand them and their needs.

However, it's important to keep the limitations of this knowledge in mind. For example, that "there is not a 1:1 correspondence between a particular brain region and a particular behavior."¹⁹ Brain regions do not work in isolation, instead, behaviour results from many interconnected areas of the brain.²⁰ Links between brain activation differences and mental processes, or real world behaviour are not proven.²¹ Rangatahi behaviour is influenced by sociocultural factors as well as biological maturation.²²

To really understand what's happening for rangatahi we need to consider these brain changes in context. We must take into consideration "the interconnectedness of the individual and their environment."¹⁸ Although a lot is changing within rangatahi themselves, this is only part of the picture in understanding their development. Their environments and relationships with others are also changing during adolescence, and these can influence the nature and extent of any risk-taking. As with any behaviour, risk-taking does not occur in a vacuum, with many factors influencing its occurrence.

Risk

Risks have two main features. These are (1) "uncertainty" and (2) "the potential for an undesirable outcome." 23


The type of risks that adolescents might take can be divided into several different categories. Writing about risk-taking often focuses on socially unacceptable behavior including having unprotected sex, binge drinking or violence,²⁴ however, this is only one aspect of it. Some researchers separate risktaking into either positive or negative categories. Positive risks are those which are constructive and socially acceptable; examples include auditioning for the school play, trying out for a sports team, learning to drive, or helping a peer. Negative risks are dangerous and/or illegal, for example trying alcohol or other drugs.²⁵ Positive or adaptive risk-taking tends to be associated with sensation seeking, whereas negative or maladaptive risk-taking is characterised by poor impulse control.²⁶

Risk-taking can also be classed as either impulsive and reactive, or intentional and reasoned. Contrary to what many might think, much adolescent risktaking is intentional and planned in advance.²⁷

It's worth remembering that "focusing solely on negative risks reinforces a deficit-based perspective of adolescence that discredits young people's potential to be thriving, contributing members of society."²⁸



Despite some similarities, rangatahi develop in different ways, with large individual differences in both their brain development and behaviour.



Sensation-seeking & impulsivity

Two concepts related to adolescent development are sensation-seeking, and impulsivity.

Sensation-seeking involves interest in new or exciting experiences and seems to peak during adolescence.²⁹ A study of people aged 10-30, across 11 countries, found that on average sensation-seeking was at its highest around 19 years of age.³⁰ Other studies found it peaked earlier, between 15-18 years.³¹

Impulsivity is the tendency to act in ways that are less planned or thought through.³² Its two main components are "acting without thinking... (and) impatience, or the tendency to prefer a sooner, smaller reward, instead of a larger, later reward".³³ Impulse control usually improves gradually from childhood into adulthood and is associated with the development of the prefrontal cortex.³⁴ In other words, impulsivity lessens over this time. Regardless of age, there are individual differences in impulsivity.³⁵

Theories on risk-taking

A number of theoretical models have been developed to better understand risk-taking in adolescence. Two prominent models are the 'dual systems' and the 'maturational imbalance' models. While there are differences between them, both view the interaction between increased reward sensitivity in adolescence, and the more slowly developing cognitive control system, as resulting in a temporary period of increased risk-taking.³⁶ In these models, rangatahi are wildly excited by risk-taking, but not yet always able to 'put the brakes on'. This thinking has informed much of the discussion in recent years, however, many now consider that this doesn't provide a full explanation of the factors affecting adolescent risk-taking.³⁷

There have been a number of criticisms of these models. In particular, the idea that this imbalance occurs for all rangatahi has been questioned, given the large individual differences among rangatahi, both in terms of their biological development, and their behaviour.³⁸

Brainwave Trust Aotearoa 2023 © PLEASE SEEK PERMISSION TO REPUBLISH brainwave.org.nz The Lifespan Wisdom model views adolescent risktaking as necessary to develop into adulthood.³⁹ This model separates adaptive risk-taking (characterised by sensation seeking) from maladaptive risk-taking (characterised by poor impulse control).⁴⁰

The "Developmental Neuro-ecological risk taking" model suggests that as rangatahi get older they are exposed to more risky environments. As whānau, school, and the law allow them more independence they face increased risk and harder decision making. In this model this contributes to increased risk-taking as rangatahi naturally explore their environment; a factor that other models have usually neglected.⁴¹ In this view, it is (1) risk exposure and (2) individual differences in self-control which influence risk-taking behaviour.⁴²

Taken together, differing theories show that risk-taking is influenced by many factors and can't be described by a simplistic explanation.⁴³ Ways of understanding rangatahi that include their environment "paint a fairer picture of adolescents, not just as individuals with unfinished brains and raging hormones, but as active learning agents who are exploring a new and uncertain world."⁴⁴

...as rangatahi get older they are exposed to more risky environments.

Individual differences

Research on adolescents has often focused on 'averages' across groups of young people, which can hide important individual differences in development.⁴⁵ Despite some similarities, rangatahi develop in different ways, with large individual differences in both their brain development and behaviour, as such "there is no average adolescent".⁴⁶ Genes and environment both play a role in these differences.⁴⁷

Similarly, there are huge individual differences in risk-taking behaviour among adolescents.⁴⁸ Not all rangatahi take risks, and for those who do, this will happen in some situations and not others.⁴⁹

For example, individual differences in sensitivity to rewards combined with different experiences during development (e.g. a supportive or a chaotic home environment) influence the way rangatahi approach risk taking.⁵⁰ Gender makes a difference too, with risk behaviour, on average, higher among young men than young women.⁵¹ Psychological and neurobiological differences as well as differing opportunities contribute to individual differences in risktaking behaviour.⁵²

Risk-taking that is concerning occurs in only a minority of rangatahi; typically those who have



Psychological and neurobiological differences as well as differing opportunities contribute to individual differences in risk-taking behaviour.

had poor impulse control and behaviour difficulties since early childhood.⁵³ Such differences seem to continue through into adolescence and early adulthood,⁵⁴ influencing outcomes in many areas. Increased dopamine activation means that these differences in cognitive control can get bigger during adolescence.⁵⁵

Negative risk taking typically decreases in adulthood, due to both the greater responsibilities of adulthood as well as an improved ability to manage emotions and behaviour.⁵⁶

Rates of adolescent risk-taking behaviour vary across different countries, despite some aspects of psychological development being universal. This indicates that the environment influences rangatahi behaviour, and risk-taking "is not the inevitable by product of the period's neurobiology." ⁵⁷

Environmental factors influencing risk-taking

Increased independence. Adults typically grant rangatahi more freedom and this is found across many cultures.⁵⁹ Parents may provide less monitoring and by later adolescence young people may be living independently. Policy and law also influence the opportunity for adolescents to engage in different types of risk-taking behaviour, for example, the legal age at which alcohol can be bought.⁵⁹

The presence of their friends.⁶⁰ Some types of risk are more likely to occur when rangatahi have friends present, for example they are more likely than an adult to have a car accident when carrying a passenger.⁶¹ They are also more likely to try alcohol and other drugs with friends, compared to being alone.⁶² The influence of friends can also be a positive one, for example if their friend discourages them from risky behaviour.⁶³

Drinking alcohol. Alcohol affects decision-making abilities and the ability to regulate behaviour.⁶⁴ A study of young adults found that the combination of peers and alcohol affected brain connectivity during risk-taking tasks leading to brain activity that was less mature; the researchers suggest the effects of alcohol and peer presence would be even stronger among younger adolescents.⁶⁵

Lack of sleep. Very common among rangatahi, not getting enough sleep is associated with more risk-taking, including alcohol and other drug use, violence, unsafe road behaviours and sexual risk taking.⁶⁶ When rangatahi don't have enough sleep, studies have found that they are more likely to behave in risky ways, and they have less connectivity between the emotional and decision-making areas in their brain.⁶⁷

Stress. Even among adults, stress increases risky decision making. Adolescents face many stressors including physical changes, conflict with others, and academic demands.⁶⁸ Negative emotional states, such as feeling threatened, influence risk-taking behaviour.⁶⁹

Conclusions

Adolescence is a time of many changes, physically, socially and emotionally. There are significant

Environmental factors influencing risk-taking

increased independence | drinking alcohol the presence of friends | lack of sleep | stress

changes to the brain. Physiological changes, including those of the brain, do not occur in isolation and the context and purpose of these changes is important to understand.

How an adolescent develops through this time depends on many factors, including their genes, prior experiences and relationships as well as the experiences and support available to them during adolescence.

Risk-taking in adolescence is influenced by many things,⁷⁰ including:

> Social and biological changes of adolescence

> Large individual differences in both adolescent brain development and in risk taking behaviour. In particular, some have pre-existing difficulties with impulse control which might intensify in adolescence

> Situation specific factors (e.g. being with friends, using alcohol, lack of sleep, heightened emotions)

Risks themselves can vary along several dimensions, including:

- > Adaptive or maladaptive
- Reasoned or reactive >

Rangatahi are undergoing incredible changes and are predisposed to explore and learn about their world. For some, this can result in dangerous behaviour, but it can also lead to positive prosocial and creative activities.71



Endnotes

- Dahl et al., 2028, cited by Crone & van Duijvenvoorde, 2021
- Galván, 2021
- Icenoale & Cauffman, 2021 Saragosa-Harris et al., 2022
- Icenoale & Cauffman, 2021
- Galván, 2021
- Icenogle & Cauffman, 2021 8
- Steinberg, 2016 Galván, 2021
- 10 Somerville, 2016
- Wierenga et al., 2014, cited by Foulkes & Blakemore, 2018 11
- 12 Steinberg, 2016 Steinberg, 2016
- Galván, 2021 14
- 15 Davidow et al., 2016; Peters & Crone, 2017
- 16 Edelson & Reyna, 2023, p.109
- Galván, 2021 17
- 18 Ferschmann et al., 2022, p.170 19
- Galván, 2021, p. 860 20 Galván, 2021
- 21 Biork & Pardini, 2015: Edelson & Revna, 2023
- Saragosa-Harris et al., 2022 22 23
- Duell & Steinberg, 2021, p. 1 24 Duell & Steinberg, 2021
- 25 Crone & van Duijvenvoorde, 2021
- 26 Khurana et al., 2018
- Maslowsky et al., 2019; Edelson & Reyna, 2023 27
- 28 Duell & Steinberg, 2021, p. 2
- 29 Steinberg, 2016
- 30 Steinberg et al., 2018 Edelson & Reyna, 2023 31
- 32
- Shulman et al., 2016 Edelson & Reyna, 2023, p. 108 33
- Casey et al., 2008; Icenogle & Cauffman, 2021 34
- 35 Casev et al., 2008
- 36 Crone & van Duijvenvoorde, 2021
- Icenogle & Cauffman, 2021 Foulkes & Blakemore, 2018; Khurana et al., 2018 37 38
- 39 Romer at al., 2017, cited by Galván, 2021
- 40 Khurana et al., 2018
- 41 Defoe & Romer, 2022
- 42 Defoe, 2021
- 43 Duell & Steinberg, 2021, p. 4
- 44 Ciranka & van den Bos, 2021, p. 10
- Foulkes & Blakemore, 2018 45
- Galván, 2021, p. 858 46 47 Foulkes & Blakemore, 2018
- 48 Blakemore, 2018; Galván, 2021
- 49 Uy & Galván, 2020
- 50 Crone & van Duijvenvoorde, 2021
- 51 WHO, 2014, cited by Duell et al., 2018 52
- Icenogle & Cauffman, 2021
- 53 Icenogle & Cauffman, 2021; Romer et al., 2017
 54 Mischel et al., 1989, and, Eigsti et al., 2006, cited by Casey et al., 2008
- 55 Khurana et al., 2018
- Duell & Steinberg, 2021 Steinberg et al., 2018, p.12 56 57
- Duell & Steinberg, 2021; Willoughby et al., 2021 58
- 59 Icenogle & Cauffman, 2021
- 60 Shulman et al., 2016, p.114
- Andrews et al., 2020 61 62 Andrews et al., 2020
- 63 Andrews et al., 2020
- Casbon et al., 2003, cited by Sherman et al., 2019 64
- 65 Sherman et al., 2019 Short & Weber, 2018
- 67
- Robinson et al., 2018, cited by Galván, 2020 Uy & Galván, 2020 68
- Cohen et al., 2016 69
- Sherman et al., 2019 70
- Icenogle & Cauffman, 2021

If you enjoyed this article, these may also be of interest:

Another Way of Looking at Teens: Positive Youth Development Supporting the Well-being of our Rangatahi

Glossary of Māori terms:

Rangatahi

youth; younger generation

References

- Andrews, J. L., Foulkes, L., & Blakemore, S.-J. (2020). Peer Influence in Adolescence: Public-Health Implications for COVID-19. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 24(8), 585-587.
- Bjork, J. M., & Pardini, D. A. (2015). Who are those "risk-taking adolescents"? Individual differences in developmental neuroimaging research. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 11, 56-64.

Blakemore, S.-J. (2018). Inventing Ourselves: The secret life of the teenage brain. New York: Public Affairs.

Casey, B. J., Jones, R. M., & Hare, T. A. (2008). The adolescent brain. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1124,* 111-126. Ciranka, S., & van den Bos, W. (2021). Adolescent risk-taking in the context of exploration and social influence. *Developmental Review, 61,* 100979.

Cohen, A. O., Breiner, K., Steinberg, L., Bonnie, R. J., Scott, E. S., Taylor-Thompson, K., . . . Heller, A. S. (2016). When is an adolescent an adult? Assessing cognitive control in emotional and nonemotional contexts. *Psychological Science*, 27(4), 549-562.

Crone, E. A., & van Duijvenvoorde, A. C. (2021). Multiple pathways of risk taking in adolescence. *Developmental Review, 62,* 100996.

Davidow, J Y., Foerde, K., Galván, A., & Shohamy, D. (2016). An upside to reward sensitivity: The hippocampus supports enhanced reinforcement learning in adolescence. *Neuron*, 92(1), 93-99.

Defoe, I. N. (2021). Towards a hybrid criminological and psychological model of risk behavior: The developmental neuro-ecological risk-taking model (DNERM). *Developmental Review*, *62*, 100995.

- Defoe, I. N., & Romer, D. (2022). Theoretical advances in research on the development of risk taking. *Developmental Review, 63,* 101001.
- Duell, N., & Steinberg, L. (2021). Adolescents take positive risks, too. Developmental Review, 62, 100984.

Duell, N., Steinberg, L., Icenogle, G., Chein, J., Chaudhary, N., Di Giunta, L., ... Oburu, P. (2018). Age patterns in risk taking across the world. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 47(5), 1052-1072.

- Edelson, S. M., & Reyna, V. F. (2023). Decision making in adolescence and early adulthood. In APA Handbook of Adolescent and Young Adult Development. (pp. 107-122). Washington, DC, US: American Psychological Association.
- Ferschmann, L., Bos, M. G., Herting, M. M., Mills, K. L., & Tamnes, C. K. (2022). Contextualizing adolescent structural brain development: Environmental determinants and mental health outcomes. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 44, 170-176.

Foulkes, L., & Blakemore, S.-J. (2018). Studying individual differences in human adolescent brain development. Nature Neuroscience, 21(3), 315-323.

Galván, A. (2020). The need for sleep in the adolescent brain. Trends in Cognitive Neuroscience, 24(1), 79-89.

Galván, A. (2021). Adolescent brain development and contextual influences: A decade in review. Journal of Research on Adolescence, 31(4), 843-869.

- Icenogle, G., & Cauffman, E. (2021). Adolescent decision making: A decade in review. *Journal of Research on Adolescence, 31*(4), 1006-1022.
- Khurana, A., Romer, D., Betancourt, L. M., & Hurt, H. (2018). Modeling trajectories of sensation seeking and impulsivity dimensions from early to late adolescence: universal trends or distinct sub-groups? *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 47(9), 1992-2005.
- Maslowsky, J., Owotomo, O., Huntley, E. D., & Keating, D. (2019). Adolescent risk behavior: Differentiating reasoned and reactive risk-taking. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 48(2), 243-255.
- Peters, S., & Crone, E. A. (2017). Increased striatal activity in adolescence benefits learning. *Nature Communications, 8*(1), 1983.
- Romer, D., Reyna, V. F., & Satterthwaite, T. D. (2017). Beyond stereotypes of adolescent risk taking: Placing the adolescent brain in developmental context. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 27,* 19-34.
- Saragosa-Harris, N. M., Cohen, A. O., Reneau, T. R., Villano, W. J., Heller, A. S., & Hartley, C. A. (2022). Real-world exploration increases across adolescence and relates to affect, risk taking, and social connectivity. *Psychological Science*, 33(10), 1664-1679.

Sherman, L. E., Rosenbaum, G. M., Smith, A. R., Botdorf, M. A., Fettich, K., Patrianakos, J. L., . . . Chein, J. M. (2019). The interactive effects of peers and alcohol on functional brain connectivity in young adults. *Neuroimage*, *197*, 264-272.

Short, M. A., & Weber, N. (2018). Sleep duration and risk-taking in adolescence: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sleep Medicine Reviews, 41, 185-196.

Shulman, E. P., Smith, A. R., Silva, K., Icenogle, G., Duell, N., Chein, J., & Steinberg, L. (2016). The dual systems model: Review, reappraisal, and reaffirmation. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, *17*, 103-117.

Somerville, L. H. (2016). Searching for signatures of brain maturity: what are we searching for? Neuron, 92(6), 1164-1167. Steinberg, L. (2016). *Adolescence* (11th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill Education.

Steinberg, L., Icenogle, G., Shulman, E. P., Breiner, K., Chein, J., Bacchini, D., . . . Dodge, K. A. (2018). Around the world, adolescence is a time of heightened sensation seeking and immature self-regulation. *Developmental Science*, 21(2), e12532.

Uy, J. P., & Galván, A. (2020). Individual differences in accumbofrontal tract integrity relate to risky decisions under stress in adolescents and adults. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 45*, 100859.

Willoughby, T., Good, M., Adachi, P. J., Hamza, C., & Tavernier, R. (2014). Examining the link between adolescent brain development and risk taking from a social-developmental perspective (reprinted). *Brain and Cognition, 89*, 70-78.

Willoughby, T., Heffer, T., Good, M., & Magnacca, C. (2021). Is adolescence a time of heightened risk taking? An overview of types of risk-taking behaviors across age groups. *Developmental Review, 61*, 100980.